

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,394	06/25/2003	Frank Westendorf	7781.0084-00	4798
22852 75	90 09/29/2006	EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			MITCHELL, JASON D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/606,394	WESTENDORF ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jason Mitchell	2193			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.	June 2003				
· <u> </u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	osecution as to the merits is					
,_	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disnosit	ion of Claims					
4)	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.					
5)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.					
7)						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
	ion Papers					
	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[	)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
,—	•		771011011 01 1011111 1 1 0 1 0 2 .			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer					
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
			ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
`	see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not receive	cu.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	αιεπι προιισαιστι			
S. Patent and T	rademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Art Unit: 2193

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to an application filed 6/25/2003.

This application claims priority to provisional applications 60/391727, 60/392337, 60/392516, 60/392709 and 60/392711 each filed on 6/25/02.

Claims 1-45 are pending in this application.

## Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "Methods and Systems for Providing Object Oriented Classes and Methods".

#### **Drawings**

Figure 3-5 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2193

.

Page 3

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "software application 8" (see para. [019]). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by "The Java Virtual Machine Specification" by Lindholm et al. (Lindholm).

Art Unit: 2193

Regarding Claim 1, 16, 31: Lindholm discloses defining one or more classes of objects, the classes having one or more methods for performing operations on the objects:

"An interface is a reference type whose members are constants and abstract methods." (see pg. 30, 2.13 Interfaces)

creating one or more objects of the one or more classes, each object having an identifier within its class;

"classes can implement [an interface] by providing implementations for its abstract methods." (see pg. 30, 2.13 Interfaces); "There are three kinds of reference types: ... the interface types (§2.13) ... An object is a dynamically created class instance ... The reference values ... are pointers to these objects" (see pg. 9, 2.4.5 Reference Types, Objects, and Reference Values)

to the one or more methods of the one or more classes, whereby the at least one function is assigned to one or more methods of the one or more classes; and "A class may be declared to directly implement one or more interfaces, meaning that any instance of the class implements all the abstract methods specified by that interface." (see pg. 30, 2.13 Interfaces)

assigning the tool to one of the one or more objects of the one or more classes by using the identifier of the object.

"It is not sufficient that the class happens to implement all the abstract methods of the interface; the class ... must actually be declared to implement the interface, or else the

Art Unit: 2193

class is not considered to implement the interface." (see pg. 30, 2.13 Interfaces); "Stack ..., objectref, parg1, [arg2 ...]]" (see pg. 258, invokeinterface).

Regarding Claims 2, 17, and 32: The rejections of claims 1, 16, and 31 are incorporated, respectively; further Lindholm discloses assigning the tool to an object is performed based on a table (pg. 92, 4.4 Constant Pool) wherein the tool is associated with one or more identifiers (pg. 148, 5.3 Interface Method Resolution "A constant pool entry tagged as CONSTANT\_InterfaceMethodref (§4.4.2) represents a call to an instance method declared by an interface.").

Regarding Claims 3, 18, and 33: The rejections of claims 1, 16, and 31 are incorporated, respectively; further Lindholm discloses assigning the tool to an object is performed based on a table (pg. 92, 4.4 Constant Pool) wherein the tool is associated with one or more identifiers (g. 148, 5.3 Interface Method Resolution "A constant pool entry tagged as CONSTANT\_InterfaceMethodref (§4.4.2) represents a call to an instance method declared by an interface.") and wherein the tool is assigned to objects of only one class (see pg. 30, 2.13 Interfaces "A class may be declared to directly implement one ... interfaces").

Regarding Claims 4, 19, and 34: The rejections of claims 1, 16, and 31 are incorporated, respectively; further Lindholm discloses the identifier is unique within its class (pg. 258, invokeinterface "The method table of the class of the type of objectref is determined."). Note that objectref is a pointer to a memory location (pg. 9, 2.4.5 Reference Types, Objects, and Reference Values "An object is a dynamically created

Art Unit: 2193

class instance ... The reference values ... are pointers to these objects") and thus is unique within the system and inherently anticipates a unique reference within the class.

Regarding Claim 5-8, 20-23, 35-38: The rejections of claim 1-4, 16-19, 31-34 are incorporated, respectively; further Lindholm discloses the at least one function comprises a reference to an executable code (pg. 84, ClassFile "ClassFile { ... method\_info methods[methods count];").

Regarding Claims 9-12, 24-27, and 39-42: The rejections of claims 1-4, 16-19, and 31-34 are incorporated, respectively; further Lindholm discloses the at least one function comprises a reference to a data array, in which information relating to attributes for the at least one function are stored (pg. 84, ClassFile "ClassFile { ... field\_info fields [fields count];").

Regarding Claims 13-15, 28-30, and 43-45: The rejections of claims 1-3, 16-18, and 31-33 are incorporated, respectively; further Lindholm discloses the tool comprises a reference to a data array in which information relating to attributes for at least two functions of the tool are stored (pg. 84, ClassFile "ClassFile { ... field\_info fields [fields\_count];"). Note that the 'fields' array is available to all methods of the class and thus anticipates at least two functions (i.e. 'method\_count'>=2).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2193

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-3728. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason Mitchell

9/20/06

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAN TECHNOLOGY CENTER 21:

Page 7